

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 09/837,653	Applicant(s) KANTZAS ET AL.	
	Examiner Ivars C. Cintins	Art Unit 1724	
All Participants: (1) <u>Ivars C. Cintins</u> . (2) <u>Mr. Richard Bauer</u> .		Status of Application: _____	
		(3) _____.	(4) _____.
Date of Interview: <u>12 December 2003</u>		Time: _____	
Type of Interview: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Telephonic <input type="checkbox"/> Video Conference <input type="checkbox"/> Personal (Copy given to: <input type="checkbox"/> Applicant <input type="checkbox"/> Applicant's representative)			
Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No If Yes, provide a brief description:			
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed: <i>None</i>			
Claims discussed: <i>28 and 29</i>			
Prior art documents discussed: <i>None</i>			
Part II.			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: <i>Mr. Bauer authorized the changes set forth in the examiner's amendment.</i>			
Part III.			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. <input type="checkbox"/> It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.			
			
(Examiner/SPE Signature)		(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)	